IS ENI ON TRACK FOR 1.5°C?

Reality check for financial institutions
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Eni aims to become a net-zero emissions energy business by 2050. However, based on our calculation using the company’s own carbon intensity projection, Eni’s strategy is not on track to meet the 1.5°C climate goal. Even under the conservative hypothesis that Eni meets its decarbonization targets and reduces its oil and gas production as per the IEA Net Zero-based 1.5°C scenario (referred to as the 1.5°C scenario in this briefing),2 the company will have emitted at least 13.6% more greenhouse gas (GHG) than what is authorized under a 1.5°C compatible carbon budget. Eni will be overshooting its share of the remaining carbon budget to limit global warming to 1.5°C as soon as 2038.

Why? Because the pathway to net zero matters much more than the final destination and Eni’s short term plans are incompatible with efforts to stay below 1.5°C. Despite efforts to showcase a pro-renewable energy and diversification strategy, the investment strategy will remain oil and gas intensive. In 2030, Eni investment strategy and energy mix will still be very focused on oil and gas, further jeopardizing the fossil fuel decline and any longer term climate ambitions.

Our methodology

This briefing analyzes how and if the company is aligned with a 1.5°C reference scenario. This scenario was computed by the Transition Pathway Initiative, based on the IEA Net Zero Scenario and on a IPCC scenario, to provide pathways for greenhouse gasses emissions and energy production.

A company is considered aligned if its cumulative GHG emissions fit within the 1.5°C carbon budget. To make these calculations, we considered its “climate” ambitions and targets, to calculate a conservative estimate of its cumulative GHG emissions. We also look at other indicators indicating the direction the company is taking: near term oil and gas production trend, CAPEX trends and energy mix forecasted in 2030, and reliance on offsets. To find out more, please look at our methodology.
1. ENI’S DECARBONIZATION PATHWAY WILL EXCEED ITS 1.5°C CARBON BUDGET

a. Emission levels will remain too high for too long

ENI announced an ambition to become “a net-zero emissions energy business” by 2050, aiming for net zero worldwide on all the group activities (scope 1 and 2) by 2040 and indirect emissions (scope 3) by 2050. However, committing to distant carbon neutrality targets is not enough to keep global warming below 1.5°C. Despite welcome improvements announced during its 2022 Capital Market Day, when ENI announced new absolute emissions reduction targets for 2030, 2035 and 2040 for its scope 1, 2 and 3, our analysis shows that ENI’s short-to-medium term strategic and operational orientations (GHG emissions, CAPEX allocation) are not consistent with achieving carbon neutrality by 2050 and therefore put the climate at risk.

Although ENI has pledged to reduce its scope 1 and 2 operated upstream emissions by 50% by 2024 and its average carbon intensity of sold energy products by 15% by 2030 (see table 1 in the annex), these targets will not stop the company’s absolute emissions from increasing quickly in the short-term.

According to ENI’s own projections and our calculations, until 2035, ENI’s carbon intensity is on average 21.9% higher than the maximum carbon intensity levels allowed by the 1.5°C reference scenario (see graph 1).

In other words, each unit of energy the company will produce until 2035 (and beyond) will consistently emit too much GHG. Given that oil and gas production levels will also remain high, ENI will keep releasing high levels of GHG emissions. For ENI to align with a 1.5°C decarbonization pathway, its absolute emission levels must decrease. For absolute emissions to decrease, fossil fuel production must decrease. Currently, ENI’s projections do not lead to a reduction in hydrocarbon production in the short term (see part 2 of this briefing).

b. ENI will exceed its 1.5°C carbon budget by 2038

Given that ENI does not plan to reduce carbon intensity fast enough, but plans to increase its oil and gas production in the near-term, its absolute emissions are growing quickly. By 2050, our analysis shows that ENI will exceed its 1.5°C carbon budget by at least 13.6% (see graph n°2).

Even in the unlikely event that ENI starts reducing hydrocarbon production as per the 1.5°C reference scenario, the major would still overshoot its allocated carbon budget as early as 2038. Based on ENI’s own carbon intensity projections, Reclaim Finance calculations indicate that more than 71.1% of ENI’s carbon budget will be consumed as early as 2030.

The carbon budget overshoot could keep increasing. Production levels will rise until 2025 as ENI is developing new oil and gas assets, and could remain very high or keep rising given that ENI has discovered resources that have not yet entered the field evaluation or development stage, and is investing in further exploration of yet to be discovered resources.

c. What to expect on offsets?

ENI plans to heavily rely on offsets to achieve its climate targets. The company plans to offset 15 MtCO2e per annum through Nature-based solutions (NBS) by 2030. This would require 3.2 millions acres of plantations, equivalent to the Campania region. The Italian firm is also developing Carbon Capture Use and Storage (CCUS) and aims to reach a capacity of 10 MtCO2e per annum by 2030 (7 CCUS units). This raises feasibility issues: currently, there are only 28 operating around the world because Carbon Capture Use and Storage technology is not mature at large-scale yet, and its economic viability is still in doubt.

ENI also announced offset targets for 2040 and 2050. The company aims to steadily increase its use of offset to reach 25 MtCO2e per annum of NBS and 50 MtCO2e per annum of CCUS by 2050. According to the company’s target, offsets will cover from 13.5% to 15% of 2030, 2040 and 2050 emissions reduction targets. To reach the 2050 target, ENI would have to grow a forest bigger than Calabria region, as well as opening 34 new CCUS centers.
**Does the TPI benchmark really assess alignment with 1.5°C?**

In November 2021, TPI updated its energy sector benchmark, stating that a company is “aligned with 1.5°C” on the ground that the company’s carbon intensity is predicted to converge with the scenario’s pathway by 2050. However, this conclusion is misleading. TPI declares a company aligned as soon as the carbon intensity of the company falls below the carbon intensity level allowed by the 1.5°C reference scenario that same year. TPI’s approach, centered only on carbon intensity, does not take into account excess GHG emissions and fossil production stocks built up between today and 2050.

On the contrary, our stock-based method (based on carbon budgets), considers the cumulative GHG emissions piling up each year as a result of annual fossil production. If both carbon intensity and oil and gas production remain high, then GHG emissions increase quickly and fall short of the remaining carbon budget to stay below 1.5°C. For a company to be deemed “aligned” (in the short, mid or long-term), its absolute emissions must fall within the carbon budget allocated by the 1.5°C reference scenario in that same time frame (short, mid or long term).

**“Carbon neutral LNG” - A dangerous marketing claim?**

ENI claims to sell “carbon-neutral” liquefied natural gas (LNG) cargoes, whose emissions have, supposedly, been offset or “avoided”. However, most emissions created by these LNG shipments were not canceled out. Numerous studies have shown that tree plantations and supposed forest protection projects often have much lower carbon benefits than claimed and can have seriously negative impacts on Indigenous and other local communities, especially by taking over the land that they use for farming or other purposes. Furthermore studies have repeatedly shown that the carbon offsets market as a whole is rife with fraud, flawed methodologies, opacity and conflicts of interest.

As a result the great majority of offsets generated since the global market started to grow in the late 1990s — 85% of the Kyoto Protocol Clean Development Mechanism’s offsets according to one widely cited analysis — are likely fictitious and do not represent emission reductions or removals. Carbon neutral-LNG is a dangerous claim as the use of offsets justifies selling more fossil fuels, which will ultimately lead to more emissions.
2. ENI IS INVESTING IN AN OIL AND GAS FUTURE

a. ENI still plans to increase oil and gas production in the short term

Reducing oil and gas production is a crucial part of any credible decarbonization pathway and is required to achieve deep emission cuts. Both the UN Production gap report and the 2021 World Energy Outlook Net Zero scenario entail a decline in fossil fuel production during this decade. According to Carbon Tracker models, to align with the IEA’s Net Zero scenario, most oil and gas companies will need to drastically cut down on hydrocarbon production: by at least 51% by 2030 in the case of ENI.

As ENI is currently developing new oil and gas fields, the 2025 oil and gas planned production compared to the 2016 historical production level, after the Paris Agreement was signed, will be 8.0% higher. According to its own plans, ENI upstream production will grow until 2025 before plateauing.

b. ENI, a key player in oil and gas expansion

While ENI is investing in renewables and planning to lock in 15 GW of clean energy by 2030 and 60 GW by 2050, the company is also investing in new fossil fuel operations across the world.

According to the Global Oil and Gas Exit List, ENI is in the top 20 oil and gas producers and developers worldwide.

- In 2020, the company’s resources under production amounted to 11,458 mmboe, the equivalent of 20 years of production (at its 2019-2021 level).
- Currently, there are more than 1,894 worth of assets being developed, which will allow ENI to add the equivalent of three years of recent production to its portfolio.
- ENI also has 5,210 mmboe of discovered hydrocarbon resources that have not yet entered the field evaluation or development stage.

Not only is ENI expanding, it is also increasingly tapping into unconventional oil and gas resources. According to the Global Oil and Gas Exit List, circa 40.3% of the oil and gas resources currently being developed by ENI are in ultradeep water, and 0.9% come from each the Arctic region and fracking.

Why increasing gas production is toxic for the climate

ENI claims to decrease upstream oil from 2024 but is essentially switching from one fossil fuel to another. Fossil gas production will account for 60% of the oil and gas mix by 2030 and 90% beyond 2040. Gas production results in methane leaks in the atmosphere at different stages (eg. venting during extraction and evaporation during transportation of LNG by boat).

Methane is a potent greenhouse gas with a warming potential 85 times that of CO2 over 20 years. According to the IPCC, methane emissions have nearly tripled since pre-industrial times and are increasingly responsible for rising temperatures. The IEA net zero roadmap is also adamant that there is no room for both new oil and new gas fields in the 1.5°C carbon budget.

Source: Reclaim Finance calculations based on Rystad Energy UCube

Graph N°3. ENI production growth since 2016
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c. ENI’s investments will remain heavily focused on fossil fuels

Despite claims that ENI is gradually transitioning, a quick look at the CAPEX allocation demonstrates that the major’s investment strategy is still focused on fossil fuels.

The company aims to dedicate 25% of its annual CAPEX to its low-carbon activities by 2024. Previously, ENI had announced 14% of its annual CAPEX would go to its Renewable business by 2024. Although this represents ten times its past share of renewable investments (1.4% allocated in 2020), this will not be enough for ENI’s energy mix to transition away from fossil fuels in the near and medium term. ENI announced upstream capex will amount to €4.5 billion per year by 2024, half being growth CAPEX, and did not specify CAPEX going to midstream and downstream fossil activities.

As a result, and assuming the company meets its targets, ENI will be producing fifteen times more fossil fuels than renewables in 2030.

The oil and gas majors argue that they are in the process of “diversifying” their energy mix. However, for the time being, their diversification strategy is adding renewable energy capacity on top of oil and gas production, instead of replacing it. As long as the company maintains high levels of fossil fuel productions, it will not achieve the deep emission cuts required to keep climate change in check.

Eni and the gas curse in Mozambique

Between 2010 and 2013, huge gas discoveries were made by Eni off the coast of Capo Delgado, in northern Mozambique. The scale of the reserves could potentially make the small country one of the world’s largest gas producers. Over the past years, foreign energy companies and investors have rushed into the country to take control of its natural resources, signing off deals worth close to $60 billions.

With estimated emissions amounting to seven times France’s annual emissions, the three LNG projects underway in the country, two of which are led by Eni, can unleash a climate bomb of catastrophic proportions. The first project, Coral FLNG, which is by Eni, will be completed soon and should get in production in 2023 with the capacity to process 3.4 million tons per year. Eni already signed a gas off-take agreement with BP. Coral is the world’s deepest FLNG facility with six wells drilled nearly 2,000 meters deep – the first ultra-deep water FLNG ever operated.

Many believe that the expansion of the fossil fuel industry in Mozambique, with its corollary of displacements and loss of livelihood, contributed to fuel the underlying tensions that erupted in a bloody conflict that has caused 3,100 deaths and displaced over 800,000 people since 2017.

What the IEA says about the need for new CAPEX in oil and gas

According to the IEA Net Zero scenario, oil and gas capex are not “continued” but rather divided by two. The IEA estimates that an average $365 billion per year would be spent on oil and gas until 2030: that’s 50% less than oil and gas capital expenditures before the COVID crisis ($719 Mds a year from 2016 to 2018).

Furthermore, the IEA explicitly states that investments are needed in existing fields, but it bans investment in new oil and gas fields after 2021. From the $365 billion, only $77 billion (20%) would go to new fields that have been approved for development before the end of 2021.

According to the IEA, the investment in oil and gas would continue to drop as time goes by, reaching an average $171 billion per year from 2031 to 2050.

Graph n°4. ENI’s short term expansion plans
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Source: Urgewald analysis based on data from Rystad Energy
Table 1. ENI’s pledged mitigation targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base year</th>
<th>Target year</th>
<th>Reduction target&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Net target</th>
<th>Geographical scope</th>
<th>Emission scope</th>
<th>Emission type&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>-65%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2, upstream operational control</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>-40%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2, group level</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2, upstream operational control</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>-35%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3, carbon intensity of sold energy products</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>-55%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2, group level</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>-80%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>-50%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3, carbon intensity of sold energy products</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>-100%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>World</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3,</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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