EDITO

The evidence is accumulating and it is all pointing to the same conclusion: so-called sustainable funds are sustainable in name only.

After the SRI (Socially Responsible Investment) scam where 94% of funds marketed in France in 2020 were found to be exposed to fossil fuel companies, arms manufacturers, or to have very little connection with social or human rights, new research from Reclaim Finance exposes the greenwashing behind passive funds. 70% of the 430 passive funds with sustainability claims analyzed invest in companies developing new coal, oil, or gas projects, such as Glencore, TotalEnergies and Shell.

Once again, this demonstrates the urgent need for regulators to check the practices of investors and financial actors who market these funds. The objective is simple: to stop misleading savers and investors so that those who wish to put their money towards sustainable companies can truly do so.

To achieve this, regulators will need to establish minimum criteria to be respected by all funds that make extra-financial claims, with even tighter criteria for sustainable or transition-related funds. However, they will also need to ensure a posteriori control to track down and penalize those who engage in misleading environmental claims.

This regulation is all the more urgent as passive finance is on the rise, both in Europe and worldwide, and generally escapes the climate policies adopted by major asset management companies.

Investing passively means choosing to rely on indexes and prioritizing cost reduction over controlling asset allocation. This choice is as anachronistic as it is intolerable. Respecting climate objectives requires subjecting investment decisions to the red lines drawn by science and the environmental performance of companies.

The battle to get the French government to exclude fossil fuel developers such as TotalEnergies and ExxonMobil from SRI-labeled funds was long. The one looming over passive finance is likely to be even longer, given the significant financial stakes. There were some US$ 13.3 trillion passively-managed assets as of the end of December 2023. In the absence of policies, it will be up to the regulators to intervene quickly. Complacency with greenwashing is a failure in their mandate as the watchdog for the financial market.
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Sustainable claims for passive funds misleading, new analysis shows

Reclaim Finance is warning that these investments are fueling climate change and is urging regulators to outlaw sustainable claims for funds supporting fossil fuel expansion.

READ MORE

French banks turn their backs on TotalEnergies in Papua New Guinea

Crédit Agricole, TotalEnergies' financial advisor on the controversial Papua LNG project has said it will not directly finance this gas megaproject in Papua New Guinea.

READ MORE

NZBA: two steps forward, one back

In the revised version of its guidelines for setting climate targets, the Net Zero Banking Alliance (NZBA) has missed an opportunity to correct the lack of clear requirements for target design.

READ MORE

MONTHLY SELECTION

Engie prioritizes shareholders over fossil gas phase-out

The company also reported a record dividend payout of 4.1 billion euros in 2023, and announced plans to pay 3.5 billion euros in 2024.

The inconsistency of insurance groups in the face of fossil expansion

While these insurance groups are committed to no longer insuring new oil and gas fields, they continue to invest in the companies that develop them.

CSDDD: European states must not u-turn!

Directive is in jeopardy, despite the compromise agreement reached by member states and the European Parliament in December.

Japanese banks: major supporters of coal expansion

Japanese banks, have provided more than USD 66 billion to the 50 biggest companies developing metallurgical coal projects for steel production outside of China.
A €100 donation equals €34 after tax reduction.